

U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review Office of the Director 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2600 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: Office of Legislative and Public Affairs

(703) 305-0289 Fax: (703) 605-0365

Internet: www.usdoj.gov/eoir/

May 20, 2008

EOIR Announces Latest Disciplinary Actions Under Rules of Professional Conduct Seven Attorneys Immediately Suspended and Ten Receive Final Orders

FALLS CHURCH, Va. – The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) has recently taken disciplinary action against 17 attorneys for violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct for immigration practitioners.

EOIR announces these disciplinary actions to inform the public about practitioners who are no longer authorized to represent clients before an immigration tribunal. In most cases, EOIR has disciplined the practitioner based on a sanction imposed by another jurisdiction or a criminal conviction.

Disciplinary proceedings begin when the Office of the General Counsel of either EOIR or the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security (DHS), files a Notice of Intent to Discipline with EOIR's Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). The disciplinary action can result in the suspension or expulsion of a practitioner before the immigration courts, the BIA, and DHS. Attorneys who are suspended or expelled must be reinstated by the BIA before they can resume the practice of law before the BIA, the immigration courts, and DHS.

EOIR has recently taken the following disciplinary actions:

Immediate Suspensions

The BIA ordered the immediate suspension of the following attorneys:

• William T. Jebb, II: He was suspended from the practice of law in New York for 6 months for multiple violations of the state rules of professional conduct, including: misappropriation of funds, engaging in a pattern of professional misconduct that adversely reflected on his fitness as a lawyer, and engaging in

(more)

Attorney Discipline Page 2

- conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on April 9, 2008, based on his 6-month suspension in New York, pending final disposition of his case.
- ●☐ **Richard G. Hackwell:** He was disbarred from the practice of law in Utah for multiple violations of the state rules of professional conduct, including: failure to communicate, failure to diligently represent clients, and failure to return unearned fees. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on April 16, 2008, based on his disbarment in Utah, pending final disposition of his case.
- ●☐ Charles R. Marcus: He was suspended and ultimately resigned from the practice of law in New Mexico for failing to cooperate with disciplinary counsel's investigation, misappropriating funds, and neglecting an immigration matter. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on April 9, 2008, based on his suspension and ultimate resignation in New Mexico, pending final disposition of his case.
- ●□ Sheldon H. Kronegold: He resigned and was ultimately disbarred from the practice of law in New York for multiple violations of the state rules of professional conduct, including allegations that he aided a disbarred lawyer in the unauthorized practice of law. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on April 15, 2008, based on his resignation and ultimate disbarment in New York, pending final disposition of his case.
- ◆□ Victor Mba-Jonas: He was suspended from the practice of law in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia for multiple violations of the Maryland rules of professional conduct, including rules regarding the safekeeping of property, trust account irregularities, and conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on April 25, 2008, based on his suspensions in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, pending final disposition of his case.
- ■☐ Raghubir K. Gupta: He was convicted in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for willfully causing the subscription of an immigration document containing a material false statement and presenting an immigration document containing a false statement. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on May 7, 2008, based on the conviction, pending final disposition of his case.
- ◆☐ Vahid A. Shariati: He was temporarily suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for posing a substantial threat of serious harm to the public. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on May 7, 2008, based on his temporary suspension in the District of Columbia, pending final disposition of his case.

(more)

Attorney Discipline Page 3

Final Orders of Discipline

- Walter P. Bubna: A final order of March 13, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 6 months, effective Feb. 12, 2008, based on his 6-month suspension in Ohio.
- Carl M. Weideman, III: A final order of March 13, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 4 years, effective Feb. 12, 2008, based on his 4-year suspension in Michigan.
- **Rosalind A. Kelly:** A final order of March 20, 2008, suspends her from practice before immigration tribunals for 2 years, effective Dec. 14, 2007, based on her 2-year suspension in Texas.
- William Shaw Carpenter: A final order of March 26, 2008, suspends him indefinitely from practice before immigration tribunals, effective Feb. 12, 2008, based on his interim suspension in Iowa.
- **Noel L. Lippman:** A final order of March 26, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 1 year, effective Feb. 29, 2008, based on his 1-year suspension in Michigan.
- Ande Abraha: A final order of April 15, 2008, expels him from practice before immigration tribunals, effective Feb. 12, 2008, based on his suspension in New York and his disbarment in New Jersey.
- Angel O. Cruz: A final order of April 9, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 3 months, effective Feb. 29, 2008, based on his 3-month active suspension in Texas.
- Albert S. Lefkowitz: A final order of April 11, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 3 months, effective Feb. 26, 2008, based on his 3-month suspension in New York.
- **Richard A. Williams:** A final order of April 2, 2008, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 4 years and 9 months, effective May 29, 2003, based on his 4-year 9-month suspension in Connecticut.
- **Hani Alex Azzam:** A final order of May 7, 2008, suspends him indefinitely from practice before immigration tribunals, effective March 26, 2008, based on his conviction in Michigan's 22nd Judicial Circuit Court of three felony counts of

(more)

Attorney Discipline Page 4

stealing, retaining, or using a financial instrument device without consent. He was previously suspended by the BIA on March 26, 2008, based on his conviction.

Background

The Rules of Professional Conduct appear in Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations (8 CFR Parts 1003 and 1292). They include provisions specifying grounds for disciplinary action, requirements for receiving and investigating complaints, and procedures for conducting hearings. The rules also authorize the BIA to suspend immediately a practitioner who has been subject to disbarment, suspension, or resignation with an admission of misconduct as imposed by a federal or state court, or who has been convicted of a serious crime, pending a summary proceeding and final sanction. The Rules of Professional Conduct are available at http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/vll/fedreg/2000_2001/fr27jn00R.pdf.

A "List of Disciplined Practitioners" is available at http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/ profcond/chart.htm. In an effort to provide more detailed information about individual attorney discipline cases, every immediate suspension or final order issued can be accessed through this list by clicking on the highlighted "date" link.

Additional information about the Attorney Discipline Program can be found at http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/press/00/profcond.htm and <a href="http://www.usdoj.gov/e

— EOIR —

EOIR, an agency within the Department of Justice, is responsible for adjudicating immigration cases. Specifically, under delegated authority from the Attorney General, EOIR interprets and administers federal immigration laws by conducting immigration court proceedings, appellate reviews, and administrative hearings. EOIR consists of three components: the Office of the Chief Immigration Judge, which is responsible for managing the numerous immigration courts located throughout the United States where immigration judges adjudicate individual cases; the Board of Immigration Appeals, which primarily conducts appellate reviews of immigration judge decisions; and the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer, which adjudicates immigration-related employment cases. EOIR is committed to providing the fair, expeditious, and uniform interpretation and application of immigration law in all cases.